



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,235	12/20/2001	Takeshi Matsunaga	1'11510	4205

25944 7590 12/05/2003

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. BOX 19928
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

EXAMINER

LEWIS, DAVID LEE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2673

7

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TS

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/022,235

Applicant(s)

MATSUNAGA ET AL.

Examiner

David L Lewis

Art Unit

2673

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2, 3, 6.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. **Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gates et al. (6531997 B1) in view of Inoue (JP 401086116A).**
2. **As in claim 1, Gates et al. teaches of a image display device comprising: an image display medium which includes a display substrate, a rear substrate, column 13 lines 50-52, display side electrodes which are linearly disposed at the side of the display substrate in a predetermined direction, column 14 lines 4-11 and 50-53, column 26 lines 1-13, rear side electrodes which are linearly disposed at the side of the rear substrate in a direction intersecting the predetermined direction, column 14 lines 4-11 and 50-53, column 26 lines 1-13, and plural types of colored particles each having different charging characteristics, which are interposed so as to be movable between the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes, column 24 lines 5-25; and a voltage applying component by which a voltage is applied to the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes both contributing to image display to generate there between a potential difference which triggers particle movement, figure 3D item 4, and a voltage is applied to the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes, in**

Art Unit: 2673

which at least one of the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes do not contribute to image display, to generate there between a potential difference which is smaller than the potential difference which triggers particle movement, **figure 3F item 18. However Gates et al. only implicitly suggests** the existence of a second or display substrate, column 13 lines 50-53, **and does the explicitly teaches of** said display substrate by way of illustration. **Inoue et al. teaches** of said display, and rear electrode, figure 1 item 1, in the same particle based display as taught by Gates, wherein said implicit **display substrate feature would have been obvious** to the skilled artisan given its known use in the art as taught by Inoue et al., as found in claim 1.

3. **As in claim 2**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein the voltage applying component applies a voltage to the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes such that a potential difference between the display side electrodes contributing to image display and the display side electrodes not contributing to the image display, figure 9A item $V_{com}=V/2$, is smaller than a potential difference between the rear side electrodes contributing to the image display and the rear side electrodes not contributing to the image display, figure 9A item $102 = 0 \text{ or } V$. **As in claim 3**, Gates et al. teaches of, further comprising a pre-voltage applying component which, before the voltage applying component applies a voltage, applies a pre-voltage to both the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes so as to attract particles to be moved to the electrodes on which the particles are adhering, column 18 lines 5-15, column 28 lines 55-65, pre-

addressing or blanking. **As in claim 4**, Gates et al. teaches of, the pre voltage applying component applies the pre-voltage, in a case that a potential difference between the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes, in which at least one of the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes do not contribute to image display, exceeds a predetermined value when the voltage applying component applies a voltage, figure 9A item 102 = 0 or +V. **As in claim 5**, Gates et al. teaches of, wherein a value of the voltage applied by the pre-voltage applying component is the same as a value of the voltage which corresponds to the potential difference which triggers particle movement, figure 3D items 2 and 4. **As in claim 6**, Gates et al. teaches of, wherein the types of particles comprise positively charged black particles and negatively charged white particles, column 15 lines 44-46.

4. **As in claim 7**, Gates et al. teaches of the limitations as applied above to claim 1. Further, Gates et al. teaches and a voltage applying component by which a voltage is applied to the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes both contributing to image display to generate there between a potential difference which triggers particle movement, **figure 3E item 14**, and by which a voltage is applied to the rear side electrodes not contributing to image display to generate a potential difference which is smaller than the potential difference which triggers particle movement between the rear side electrodes and the display side electrodes both not contributing to the image display, **figure 3E item 14**, and between the rear side electrodes not contributing to the image display and the display side electrodes contributing to the image display, **figure**

Art Unit: 2673

9A item 102 = 0, column 28 lines 30-65. Wherein a pre-addressing, addressing, pre-blanking, and blanking, voltage component are achieved by a voltage source having three voltage values 0, $V/2$, and V , which are applied between the V_{com} on the display side and discrete electrodes on the rear side of the display. Figures 3, 9, and 10 illustrate as such. Said pre-addressing voltage conditions the display but does not cause a particle migration. Said addressing component can be applied before or after the non particle migration voltage component.

5. **As in claim 8**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein the voltage applying component applies substantially the same voltage to both the display side electrodes which contribute to image display and the rear side electrodes which do not contribute to image display, figure 3D items 2 and 4. **As in claim 9**, Gates et al. teaches of further comprising a pre-voltage applying component which, before the voltage applying component applies a voltage, applies a pre-voltage to both the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes so as to attract particles to be moved to the electrodes on which the particles are adhering, column 18 lines 5-15, column 28 lines 55-65, pre-addressing or blanking. **As in claim 10**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein the pre voltage applying component applies the pre-voltage, in a case that a potential difference between the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes, in which at least one of the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes do not contribute to image display, exceeds a predetermined value when the voltage applying component applies a voltage, figure 9A item 102 = 0 or $+V$. **As in claim 11**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein a

Art Unit: 2673

value of the voltage applied by the pre-voltage applying component is the same as a value of the voltage which corresponds to the potential difference which triggers particle movement, figure 3D items 2 and 4. **As in claim 12**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein the types of particles comprise positively charged black particles and negatively charged white particles, column 15 lines 44-46.

6. **As in claim 13, Gates et al. teaches of** the limitations as applied above to claim 1. **Further**, Gates et al. teaches of. the method comprising the steps of applying a voltage to the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes both contributing to image display so that a potential difference generated there between corresponds to a potential difference which triggers particle movement, **figure 3E item 14**; and applying a voltage to the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes, in which at least one of the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes do not contribute to image display, to make a potential difference generated there between smaller than the potential difference which triggers particle movement, **figure 3E item 12**. Wherein a pre-addressing, addressing, pre-blanking, and blanking, voltage component are achieved by a voltage source having three voltage values 0, $V/2$, and V , which are applied between the V_{com} on the display side and discrete electrodes on the rear side of the display. Figures 3, 9, and 10 illustrate as such. Said pre-addressing voltage conditions the display but does not cause a particle migration. Said addressing component can be applied before or after the non particle migration voltage component.

7. **As in claim 14**, Gates et al. teaches of further comprising a step of applying the pre-voltage, in a case that a potential difference between the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes, in which at least one of the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes do not contribute to image display, exceeds a predetermined value when the voltage applying component applies a voltage, figure 9A item 102 = 0 or +V. **As in claim 15**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein a value of the voltage applied by the pre-voltage applying component is the same as that which corresponds to the potential difference which triggers particle movement, figure 3D items 2 and 4. **As in claim 16**, Gates et al. teaches of, wherein the types of particles comprise positively charged black particles and negatively charged white particles, column 15 lines 44-46.

8. **As in claim 17**, Gates et al. teaches of the limitations as applied above to claim 1. **Further**, Gates et al. teaches of, the method comprising the steps of: applying a voltage to the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes both contributing to image display so that a potential difference generated there between corresponds to a potential difference which triggers particle movement, **figure 3E item 14**; and applying a voltage to the rear side electrodes to generate a potential difference which is smaller than the potential difference which triggers particle movement between the rear side electrodes and the display side electrodes both not contributing to the image display, and the display side electrodes contributing to the image display, **figure 3E item 12**. Wherein a pre-addressing, addressing, pre-blanking, and blanking, voltage component are achieved by a voltage source having three voltage values 0, $V/2$, and V , which are

applied between the Vcom on the display side and discrete electrodes on the rear side of the display. Figures 3, 9, and 10 illustrate as such. Said pre-addressing voltage conditions the display but does not cause a particle migration. Said addressing component can be applied before or after the non particle migration voltage component.

9. **As in claim 18**, Gates et al. teaches of further comprising a step of applying the pre-voltage, in a case that a potential difference between the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes, in which at least one of the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes do not contribute to image display, exceeds a predetermined value when the voltage applying component applies a voltage, figure 9A item 102 = 0 or +V.

As in claim 19, Gates et al. teaches of wherein a value of the voltage applied by the pre-voltage applying component is the same as a value of the voltage which corresponds to the potential difference which triggers particle movement, figure 3D items 2 and 4. **As in claim 20**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein the types of particles comprise positively charged black particles and negatively charged white particles, column 15 lines 44-46.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 4203106, 5467107, 5933203, 2002/0005832 A1, 4947157, 6639580 B1, 6486866 B1, 2003/0095094 A1.

Art Unit: 2673

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **David L. Lewis** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-3026**. The examiner can normally be reached on MT and THF from 8 to 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached on (703) 305-4938. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.



BIPIN SHALWALA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER